Broadband Outdoor Radiometer Calibration
Shortwave

BORCAL-SW
2021-02

Generated by

RCC

Radiometer Calibration and Characterization

Customer

Peter Gotseff

Organization: NREL

Address: 15013 Denver West Parkway, Golden, CO 80401 USA

Calibration Facility

Solar Radiation Research Laboratory

Latitude: 39.742°N

Longitude: 105.180°W

Elevation: 1828.8 meters AMSL

Time Zone: -7.0

Calibration date

05/06/2021

Report Date

December 9, 2021

NREL

Broadband Outdoor Radiometer Calibration Report

Table of contents

Introduction....3
Control Instrument history plots....4
Results summary....5
Appendix 1 Instrument Details....A1-1
Appendix 2 BORCAL Notes....A2-1

Introduction

This report compiles the calibration results from a Broadband Outdoor Radiometer Calibration (BORCAL). The work was accomplished at the Radiometer Calibration Facility shown on the front of this report. The calibration results reported here are traceable to the International System (SI) Units of Measurement.

This report includes these sections:

- Control Instruments - a group of instruments included in each BORCAL event that provides a measure of process consistency.
- Results Summary - a table of all instruments included in this report summarizing their calibration results and uncertainty.
- Instrument Details - the calibration certificates for each instrument.
- Environmental and Sky Conditions - meteorological conditions and reference irradiance during the calibration event.

BORCAL Notes or Comments

This report has been revised to accommodate updated responsivities given by the calibration provider of the BORCAL reference irradiance instruments. This report replaces the report with an issue date of May 7, 2021. This update affects all responsivities for the devices under test issued in this report by approximately -0.675%. There will also be a very slightly change in reported uncertainties due the reference irradiance instruments' uncertainties changing as well.

Control Instrument History

Figure 1. Eppley NIP Control Instrument History



Figure 2. Eppley PSP Control Instrument History



Figure 3. Kipp & Zonen CH1 Control Instrument History



Results Summary

Table 1. Results Summary

Instrument	R@45 [1] (μV/W/m²)	CF@45 [1] (W/m²/mV)	U [2] (%)	Rnet [3] (μV/W/m²)	Page
080033 Kipp & Zonen CH1	8.9908	111.22	+1.1 / -0.88	0	A1-2
080034 Kipp & Zonen CH1	9.7613	102.45	+0.82 / -0.82	0	A1-5
080035 Kipp & Zonen CH1	9.8792	101.22	+0.91 / -0.85	0	A1-8
091084 Kipp & Zonen CMP11	8.4836	117.88	+1.5 / -1.1	0.20500	A1-11
140911 Kipp & Zonen CMP11	8.6715	115.32	+2.0 / -2.4	0.20500	A1-14
153055 Kipp & Zonen CMP11	10.941	91.398	+1.3 / -1.5	0.20500	A1-17
163580 Kipp & Zonen CMP11	9.0757	110.18	+1.5 / -1.9	0.20500	A1-20
35243 Eppley 8-48	8.8191	113.39	+6.6 / -2.8	0	A1-23
920058 Kipp & Zonen CM21	13.402	74.615	+1.1 / -1.3	0.57000	A1-26

[1] CF = 1000 / R   [2] See certificate for valid zenith angle range   [3] Instrument's Effective Net IR Response

Note: Environmental Conditions for BORCAL starts on page A1-29.

Appendix 1
Instrument Details

Calibration Certificates: 3 pages for each radiometer (4 including Environmental Conditions)
Environmental Conditions for BORCAL: Last Page of a Calibration Certificate. Note: This appears only once, at the end of Appendix 1.

National Renewable Energy Laboratory

Solar Radiation Research Laboratory

Metrology Laboratory

Calibration Certificate

Calibration CERT #1239.02

Test Instrument:	Pyrheliometer	**Manufacturer:**	Kipp & Zonen
Model:	CH1	**Serial Number:**	080033
Calibration Date:	5/6/2021	**Due Date:**	5/6/2022
Customer:	Peter Gotseff	**Environmental Conditions:**	see page 4
Test Dates:	5/6		

This certifies that the above product was calibrated in compliance with ISO/IEC 17025:2017. Measurement uncertainties at the time of calibration are consistent with the Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurement (GUM) using Reda et al., 2008. All nominal values are traceable to the International System (SI) Units of Measurement.

No statement of compliance with specifications is made or implied on this certificate. However, the estimated uncertainties are the uncertainties of the calibration process; users must add other uncertainties that are relevant to their measuring system, environmental and sky conditions, outdoor set-up, and site location.

The Type-B Standard Uncertainty of using the responsivity at each even zenith angle is reported, and the Expanded Uncertainty of the calibration is reported using two methods:

1. The Expanded Uncertainty of using the responsivity at zenith angle = 45°, within the zenith angle range from 30.0° to 60.0°
2. The Expanded Uncertainty of using Spline Interpolating Functions for the responsivity versus zenith angle.

This certificate applies only to the item identified above and shall not be reproduced other that in full, without specific written approval from the calibration facility. Certificate without signature is not valid.

Table 1. Traceability

Measurement Type	Instrument	Calibration Date	Calibration Due Date
Beam Irradiance †	Eppley Absolute Cavity Radiometer Model HF, S/N 29219	09/27/2019	09/27/2021
Diffuse Irradiance †	Hukseflux Pyranometer Model SR25, S/N 2541	04/30/2021	04/30/2022
Diffuse Irradiance †	Hukseflux Pyranometer Model SR25, S/N 2542	04/30/2021	04/30/2022
Data Acquisition	NREL Data Acquisition System Model RAP-DAQ, S/N 2005-998	02/08/2021	02/08/2023
Data Acquisition	NREL Data Acquisition System Model RAP-DAQ, S/N 2005-999	02/08/2021	02/08/2023

† Through the World Radiometric Reference (WRR)

Number of pages of certificate: 4

Calibration Procedure: BORCAL-P00-Calibration and QA Procedure; available upon request.

Setup: Radiometers are calibrated outdoors, using the sun as the source. Pyranometers and pyrgeometers are installed for horizontal measurements, with their signal connectors oriented north, if their design permits.
The shading disk for the reference diffuse subtends a solid angle of 5°. Pyrheliometers are installed on solar trackers.

Calibrated by: Afshin Andreas, Peter Gotseff, and RCC

______________________________ ____________

Ibrahim Reda, Technical Manager Date

For questions or comments, please contact the technical manager at:
ibrahim.reda@nrel.gov; 303-384-6385; 15013 Denver West Parkway, Golden, CO 80401, USA

Calibration Results

080033 Kipp & Zonen CH1

The responsivity (R, μV/W/m²) of the test instrument during calibration is calculated using this Measurement Equation:

$$R = (V - Rnet * Wnet) / I \quad [1]$$

where,

- V = radiometer output voltage (microvolts),
- $Rnet$ = radiometer net infrared responsivity (μV/W/m²), see Table 4,
- $Wnet$ = effective net infrared measured by pyrgeometer (W/m²),
  = $Win - Wout$ = $Win - \sigma * Tc^4$
  - where, Win = incoming infrared (W/m²), σ = 5.6704e-8 W·m-2·K-4,
  - Tc = case temperature of pyrgeometer (K).
- I = reference irradiance (W/m²), beam (B) or global (G)
  - where, $G = B * COS(Z) + D$,
  - Z = zenith angle (degrees),
  - D = reference diffuse irradiance (W/m²).

Figure 1. Responsivity vs Zenith Angle



Figure 2. Responsivity vs Local Standard Time



Table 2. Instrument Responsivity (R) and Calibration Type-B Standard Uncertainty, u(B)

Zenith Angle (deg.)	AM R (μV/W/m²)	AM u(B) ± (%)	AM Azimuth Angle	PM R (μV/W/m²)	PM u(B) ± (%)	PM Azimuth Angle	Zenith Angle (deg.)	AM R (μV/W/m²)	AM u(B) ± (%)	AM Azimuth Angle	PM R (μV/W/m²)	PM u(B) ± (%)	PM Azimuth Angle
0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	46	9.0149	0.34	106.42	8.9952	0.31	253.71
2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	48	9.0086	0.35	104.14	8.9891	0.30	255.99
4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	50	9.0015	0.34	102.02	9.0039	0.30	258.11
6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	52	9.0120	0.33	100.07	8.9996	0.33	260.13
8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	54	9.0185	0.29	98.18	8.9999	0.33	262.05
10	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	56	8.9866	0.31	96.30	8.9927	0.30	263.83
12	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	58	9.0098	0.31	94.48	9.0096	0.30	265.67
14	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	60	9.0077	0.30	92.70	9.0099	0.32	267.35
16	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	62	9.0251	0.30	91.08	9.0076	0.30	269.10
18	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	64	9.0170	0.33	89.38	9.0080	0.31	270.80
20	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	66	9.0264	0.31	87.70	8.9887	0.31	272.40
22	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	68	9.0296	0.30	86.14	8.9816	0.31	274.05
24	9.0007	0.31	161.00	8.9899	0.31	198.58	70	9.0405	0.31	84.50	8.9893	0.31	275.60
26	9.0178	0.31	148.20	8.9866	0.33	211.89	72	9.0419	0.31	82.96	8.9848	N/A	277.24
28	9.0149	0.30	139.88	8.9716	0.31	220.26	74	9.0317	0.31	81.31	8.9827	N/A	278.86
30	8.9745	0.33	133.55	9.0258	0.33	226.41	76	9.0369	N/A	79.70	8.9708	N/A	280.48
32	8.9968	0.31	128.52	9.0120	0.29	231.52	78	9.0300	N/A	78.08	8.9854	N/A	282.05
34	8.9940	0.32	124.21	8.9794	0.32	235.99	80	9.0245	N/A	76.47	8.9797	N/A	283.66
36	8.9927	0.31	120.61	8.9956	0.32	239.61	82	9.0295	N/A	74.85	8.9695	N/A	285.39
38	9.0015	0.32	117.26	8.9740	0.31	242.96	84	9.0432	N/A	73.14	8.9627	N/A	287.03
40	9.0118	0.31	114.11	9.0130	0.31	246.01	86	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
42	8.9871	0.30	111.34	9.0237	0.31	248.75	88	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
44	9.0132	0.33	108.75	9.0081	0.32	251.33	90	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

N/A - Not Available

Figure 3. Type-B Standard Uncertainty vs Zenith Angle



Figure 4. Residuals from Spline Interpolation



Table 3. Uncertainty using Spline Interpolation ‡

Type-B Standard Uncertainty, u(B) (%)	±0.35
Type-A Interpolating Function, u(int) (%)	±0.26
Combined Standard Uncertainty, u(c) (%)	±0.44
Effective degrees of freedom, DF(c)	7111
Coverage factor, k	1.96
Expanded Uncertainty, U95 (%)	±0.86
AM Valid zenith angle range	24° to 74°
PM Valid zenith angle range	24° to 70°

‡ An illustration for how to reduce the uncertainty in calculating the irradiance using a function rather than R@45°. Not accredited.

Table 4. Calibration Label Values

R @ 45° (μV/W/m²)	Rnet (μV/W/m²) †
8.9908	0

† Rnet determination date: N/A

Table 5. Uncertainty using R @ 45°

Type-B Expanded Uncertainty, U(B) (%)	±0.69
Offset Uncertainty, U(off) (%)	+0.39 / -0.19
Expanded Uncertainty, U (%)	+1.1 / -0.88
Effective degrees of freedom, DF	+Inf
Coverage factor, k	1.96
Valid zenith angle range	30.0° to 60.0°

Figure 5. History of instrument at Zenith Angle = 45°



References:

[1] Reda, I.; Hickey, J.; Long, C.; Myers, D.; Stoffel, T.; Wilcox, S.; Michalsky, J. J.; Dutton, E. G.; Nelson, D. (2005). "Using a Blackbody to Calculate Net Longwave Responsivity of Shortwave Solar Pyranometers to Correct for Their Thermal Offset Error During Outdoor Calibration Using the Component Sum Method." Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology. , 2005; pp. 1531-1540; NREL Report No. JA-560-36646. doi:10.1175/JTECH1782.1

[2] Reda, I.; Myers, D.; Stoffel, T. (2008). "Uncertainty Estimate for the Outdoor Calibration of Solar Pyranometers: A Metrologist Perspective." Measure. (NCSLI Journal of Measurement Science). Vol. 3(4), December 2008; pp. 58-66; NREL Report No. JA-581-4137

[3] Reda, I.; Andreas, A. (2004). "Solar Position Algorithm for Solar Radiation Applications." Solar Energy. Vol. 76(5), 2004; pp. 577-589; NREL Report No. JA-560-35518. doi:10.1016/j.solener.2003.12.003

[4] Stoffel, T.; Reda, I. (2009). "NREL Pyrheliometer Comparisons: 22 September - 3 October 2008 (NPC-2008)." 54 pp.; NREL Report No. TP-550-45016.

[5] Reda, I.; Stoffel, T.; Myers, D. (2003). "Method to Calibrate a Solar Pyranometer for Measuring Reference Diffuse Irradiance." Solar Energy. Vol. 74, 2003; pp. 103-112; NREL Report No. JA-560-35025. doi:10.1016/S0038-092X(03)00124-5

[6] Reda, I. (1996). Calibration of a Solar Absolute Cavity Radiometer with Traceability to the World Radiometric Reference. 79 pp.; NREL Report No. TP-463-20619.

[7] Reda, I.; Gröbner, J.; Stoffel, T.; Myers, D.; Forgan, B. (2008). Improvements in the Blackbody Calibration of Pyrgeometers. ARM 2008 Science Team Meeting (Poster).

National Renewable Energy Laboratory

Solar Radiation Research Laboratory

Metrology Laboratory

Calibration Certificate

Calibration CERT #1239.02

Test Instrument:	Pyrheliometer	**Manufacturer:**	Kipp & Zonen
Model:	CH1	**Serial Number:**	080034
Calibration Date:	5/6/2021	**Due Date:**	5/6/2023
Customer:	Peter Gotseff	**Environmental Conditions:**	see page 4
Test Dates:	5/6		

This certifies that the above product was calibrated in compliance with ISO/IEC 17025:2017. Measurement uncertainties at the time of calibration are consistent with the Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurement (GUM) using Reda et al., 2008. All nominal values are traceable to the International System (SI) Units of Measurement.

No statement of compliance with specifications is made or implied on this certificate. However, the estimated uncertainties are the uncertainties of the calibration process; users must add other uncertainties that are relevant to their measuring system, environmental and sky conditions, outdoor set-up, and site location.

The Type-B Standard Uncertainty of using the responsivity at each even zenith angle is reported, and the Expanded Uncertainty of the calibration is reported using two methods:

1. The Expanded Uncertainty of using the responsivity at zenith angle = 45°, within the zenith angle range from 30.0° to 60.0°
2. The Expanded Uncertainty of using Spline Interpolating Functions for the responsivity versus zenith angle.

This certificate applies only to the item identified above and shall not be reproduced other that in full, without specific written approval from the calibration facility. Certificate without signature is not valid.

Table 1. Traceability

Measurement Type	Instrument	Calibration Date	Calibration Due Date
Beam Irradiance †	Eppley Absolute Cavity Radiometer Model HF, S/N 29219	09/27/2019	09/27/2021
Diffuse Irradiance †	Hukseflux Pyranometer Model SR25, S/N 2541	04/30/2021	04/30/2022
Diffuse Irradiance †	Hukseflux Pyranometer Model SR25, S/N 2542	04/30/2021	04/30/2022
Data Acquisition	NREL Data Acquisition System Model RAP-DAQ, S/N 2005-998	02/08/2021	02/08/2023
Data Acquisition	NREL Data Acquisition System Model RAP-DAQ, S/N 2005-999	02/08/2021	02/08/2023

† Through the World Radiometric Reference (WRR)

Number of pages of certificate: 4

Calibration Procedure: BORCAL-P00-Calibration and QA Procedure; available upon request.

Setup: Radiometers are calibrated outdoors, using the sun as the source. Pyranometers and pyrgeometers are installed for horizontal measurements, with their signal connectors oriented north, if their design permits.
The shading disk for the reference diffuse subtends a solid angle of 5°. Pyrheliometers are installed on solar trackers.

Calibrated by: Afshin Andreas, Peter Gotseff, and RCC

______________________________ ____________

Ibrahim Reda, Technical Manager Date

For questions or comments, please contact the technical manager at:
ibrahim.reda@nrel.gov; 303-384-6385; 15013 Denver West Parkway, Golden, CO 80401, USA

Calibration Results

080034 Kipp & Zonen CH1

The responsivity (R, µV/W/m²) of the test instrument during calibration is calculated using this Measurement Equation:

*R = (V - Rnet * Wnet) / I* [1]

where,

- *V* = radiometer output voltage (microvolts),
- *Rnet* = radiometer net infrared responsivity (µV/W/m²), see Table 4,
- *Wnet* = effective net infrared measured by pyrgeometer (W/m²),
  = *Win - Wout* = *Win - σ * Tc^4*
  where, *Win* = incoming infrared (W/m²), *σ* = 5.6704e-8 W·m-2·K-4,
  Tc = case temperature of pyrgeometer (K).
- *I* = reference irradiance (W/m²), beam (B) or global (G)
  where, *G = B * COS(Z) + D,*
  Z = zenith angle (degrees),
  D = reference diffuse irradiance (W/m²).

Figure 1. Responsivity vs Zenith Angle



Figure 2. Responsivity vs Local Standard Time



Table 2. Instrument Responsivity (R) and Calibration Type-B Standard Uncertainty, u(B)

Zenith	AM			PM			Zenith	AM			PM		
Angle	R	u(B)	Azimuth	R	u(B)	Azimuth	Angle	R	u(B)	Azimuth	R	u(B)	Azimuth
(deg.)	(µV/W/m²)	± (%)	Angle	(µV/W/m²)	± (%)	Angle	(deg.)	(µV/W/m²)	± (%)	Angle	(µV/W/m²)	± (%)	Angle
0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	46	9.7693	0.34	106.42	9.7658	0.31	253.71
2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	48	9.7665	0.35	104.14	9.7598	0.30	255.99
4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	50	9.7650	0.34	102.02	9.7704	0.30	258.11
6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	52	9.7669	0.33	100.07	9.7692	0.33	260.13
8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	54	9.7742	0.29	98.18	9.7720	0.33	262.02
10	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	56	9.7646	0.31	96.30	9.7693	0.30	263.83
12	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	58	9.7738	0.31	94.48	9.7701	0.30	265.67
14	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	60	9.7743	0.30	92.70	9.7704	0.32	267.35
16	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	62	9.7892	0.30	91.08	9.7715	0.30	269.10
18	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	64	9.7767	0.33	89.38	9.7690	0.31	270.80
20	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	66	9.7819	0.31	87.70	9.7626	0.31	272.40
22	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	68	9.7893	0.30	86.14	9.7588	0.31	274.05
24	9.7592	0.31	161.00	9.7546	0.31	198.58	70	9.7907	0.31	84.50	9.7608	0.31	275.60
26	9.7682	0.31	148.20	9.7469	0.33	211.89	72	9.7933	0.31	82.96	9.7599	N/A	277.24
28	9.7639	0.30	139.88	9.7483	0.31	220.26	74	9.7884	0.31	81.31	9.7563	N/A	278.86
30	9.7485	0.33	133.55	9.7661	0.33	226.41	76	9.7959	N/A	79.70	9.7523	N/A	280.48
32	9.7592	0.31	128.52	9.7585	0.29	231.52	78	9.7905	N/A	78.08	9.7606	N/A	282.05
34	9.7572	0.32	124.21	9.7528	0.32	235.99	80	9.7874	N/A	76.47	9.7623	N/A	283.66
36	9.7582	0.31	120.61	9.7615	0.32	239.61	82	9.7921	N/A	74.85	9.7573	N/A	285.39
38	9.7591	0.32	117.26	9.7517	0.31	242.96	84	9.8009	N/A	73.14	9.7562	N/A	287.03
40	9.7656	0.31	114.11	9.7664	0.31	246.01	86	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
42	9.7592	0.30	111.34	9.7724	0.31	248.75	88	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
44	9.7690	0.33	108.75	9.7743	0.32	251.33	90	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

N/A - Not Available

Figure 3. Type-B Standard Uncertainty vs Zenith Angle



Figure 4. Residuals from Spline Interpolation



Table 3. Uncertainty using Spline Interpolation ‡

Type-B Standard Uncertainty, u(B) (%)	±0.35
Type-A Interpolating Function, u(int) (%)	±0.15
Combined Standard Uncertainty, u(c) (%)	±0.38
Effective degrees of freedom, DF(c)	42189
Coverage factor, k	1.96
Expanded Uncertainty, U95 (%)	±0.75
AM Valid zenith angle range	24° to 74°
PM Valid zenith angle range	24° to 70°

‡ An illustration for how to reduce the uncertainty in calculating the irradiance using a function rather than R@45°. Not accredited.

Table 4. Calibration Label Values

R @ 45° (μV/W/m²)	Rnet (μV/W/m²) †
9.7613	0

† Rnet determination date: N/A

Table 5. Uncertainty using R @ 45°

Type-B Expanded Uncertainty, U(B) (%)	±0.69
Offset Uncertainty, U(off) (%)	+0.13 / -0.13
Expanded Uncertainty, U (%)	+0.82 / -0.82
Effective degrees of freedom, DF	+Inf
Coverage factor, k	1.96
Valid zenith angle range	30.0° to 60.0°

Figure 5. History of instrument at Zenith Angle = 45°



References:

[1] Reda, I.; Hickey, J.; Long, C.; Myers, D.; Stoffel, T.; Wilcox, S.; Michalsky, J. J.; Dutton, E. G.; Nelson, D. (2005). "Using a Blackbody to Calculate Net Longwave Responsivity of Shortwave Solar Pyranometers to Correct for Their Thermal Offset Error During Outdoor Calibration Using the Component Sum Method." Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology. , 2005; pp. 1531-1540; NREL Report No. JA-560-36646. doi:10.1175/JTECH1782.1

[2] Reda, I.; Myers, D.; Stoffel, T. (2008). "Uncertainty Estimate for the Outdoor Calibration of Solar Pyranometers: A Metrologist Perspective." Measure. (NCSLI Journal of Measurement Science). Vol. 3(4), December 2008; pp. 58-66; NREL Report No. JA-581-4137

[3] Reda, I.; Andreas, A. (2004). "Solar Position Algorithm for Solar Radiation Applications." Solar Energy. Vol. 76(5), 2004; pp. 577-589; NREL Report No. JA-560-35518. doi:10.1016/j.solener.2003.12.003

[4] Stoffel, T.; Reda, I. (2009). "NREL Pyrheliometer Comparisons: 22 September - 3 October 2008 (NPC-2008)." 54 pp.; NREL Report No. TP-550-45016.

[5] Reda, I.; Stoffel, T.; Myers, D. (2003). "Method to Calibrate a Solar Pyranometer for Measuring Reference Diffuse Irradiance." Solar Energy. Vol. 74, 2003; pp. 103-112; NREL Report No. JA-560-35025. doi:10.1016/S0038-092X(03)00124-5

[6] Reda, I. (1996). Calibration of a Solar Absolute Cavity Radiometer with Traceability to the World Radiometric Reference. 79 pp.; NREL Report No. TP-463-20619.

[7] Reda, I.; Gröbner, J.; Stoffel, T.; Myers, D.; Forgan, B. (2008). Improvements in the Blackbody Calibration of Pyrgeometers. ARM 2008 Science Team Meeting (Poster).

National Renewable Energy Laboratory
Solar Radiation Research Laboratory
Metrology Laboratory
Calibration Certificate

Calibration CERT #1239.02

Test Instrument:	Pyrheliometer	**Manufacturer:**	Kipp & Zonen
Model:	CH1	**Serial Number:**	080035
Calibration Date:	5/6/2021	**Due Date:**	5/6/2023
Customer:	Peter Gotseff	**Environmental Conditions:**	see page 4
Test Dates:	5/6		

This certifies that the above product was calibrated in compliance with ISO/IEC 17025:2017. Measurement uncertainties at the time of calibration are consistent with the Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurement (GUM) using Reda et al., 2008. All nominal values are traceable to the International System (SI) Units of Measurement.

No statement of compliance with specifications is made or implied on this certificate. However, the estimated uncertainties are the uncertainties of the calibration process; users must add other uncertainties that are relevant to their measuring system, environmental and sky conditions, outdoor set-up, and site location.

The Type-B Standard Uncertainty of using the responsivity at each even zenith angle is reported, and the Expanded Uncertainty of the calibration is reported using two methods:

1. The Expanded Uncertainty of using the responsivity at zenith angle = 45°, within the zenith angle range from 30.0° to 60.0°
2. The Expanded Uncertainty of using Spline Interpolating Functions for the responsivity versus zenith angle.

This certificate applies only to the item identified above and shall not be reproduced other that in full, without specific written approval from the calibration facility. Certificate without signature is not valid.

Table 1. Traceability

Measurement Type	Instrument	Calibration Date	Calibration Due Date
Beam Irradiance †	Eppley Absolute Cavity Radiometer Model HF, S/N 29219	09/27/2019	09/27/2021
Diffuse Irradiance †	Hukseflux Pyranometer Model SR25, S/N 2541	04/30/2021	04/30/2022
Diffuse Irradiance †	Hukseflux Pyranometer Model SR25, S/N 2542	04/30/2021	04/30/2022
Data Acquisition	NREL Data Acquisition System Model RAP-DAQ, S/N 2005-998	02/08/2021	02/08/2023
Data Acquisition	NREL Data Acquisition System Model RAP-DAQ, S/N 2005-999	02/08/2021	02/08/2023

† Through the World Radiometric Reference (WRR)

Number of pages of certificate: 4

Calibration Procedure: BORCAL-P00-Calibration and QA Procedure; available upon request.

Setup: Radiometers are calibrated outdoors, using the sun as the source. Pyranometers and pyrgeometers are installed for horizontal measurements, with their signal connectors oriented north, if their design permits.
The shading disk for the reference diffuse subtends a solid angle of 5°. Pyrheliometers are installed on solar trackers.

Calibrated by: Afshin Andreas, Peter Gotseff, and RCC

______________________________ ____________

Ibrahim Reda, Technical Manager Date

For questions or comments, please contact the technical manager at:
ibrahim.reda@nrel.gov; 303-384-6385; 15013 Denver West Parkway, Golden, CO 80401, USA

Calibration Results

080035 Kipp & Zonen CH1

The responsivity (R, μV/W/m²) of the test instrument during calibration is calculated using this Measurement Equation:

$$R = (V - Rnet * Wnet) / I \qquad [1]$$

where,

- V = radiometer output voltage (microvolts),
- $Rnet$ = radiometer net infrared responsivity (μV/W/m²), see Table 4,
- $Wnet$ = effective net infrared measured by pyrgeometer (W/m²),
  = $Win - Wout$ = $Win - \sigma * Tc$^4
  - where, Win = incoming infrared (W/m²), σ = 5.6704e-8 W·m-2·K-4,
  - Tc = case temperature of pyrgeometer (K).
- I = reference irradiance (W/m²), beam (B) or global (G)
  - where, $G = B * COS(Z) + D$,
  - Z = zenith angle (degrees),
  - D = reference diffuse irradiance (W/m²).

Figure 1. Responsivity vs Zenith Angle



Figure 2. Responsivity vs Local Standard Time



Table 2. Instrument Responsivity (R) and Calibration Type-B Standard Uncertainty, u(B)

Zenith Angle (deg.)	AM R (μV/W/m²)	AM u(B) ± (%)	AM Azimuth Angle	PM R (μV/W/m²)	PM u(B) ± (%)	PM Azimuth Angle	Zenith Angle (deg.)	AM R (μV/W/m²)	AM u(B) ± (%)	AM Azimuth Angle	PM R (μV/W/m²)	PM u(B) ± (%)	PM Azimuth Angle
0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	46	9.8946	0.34	106.42	9.8838	0.31	253.71
2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	48	9.8917	0.35	104.14	9.8799	0.30	255.99
4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	50	9.8850	0.34	102.02	9.8964	0.30	258.11
6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	52	9.8904	0.33	100.07	9.8880	0.33	260.13
8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	54	9.8975	0.29	98.18	9.8864	0.33	262.05
10	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	56	9.8770	0.31	96.30	9.8903	0.30	263.83
12	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	58	9.8933	0.31	94.48	9.8891	0.30	265.67
14	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	60	9.8941	0.30	92.70	9.8922	0.32	267.35
16	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	62	9.9151	0.30	91.08	9.8889	0.30	269.10
18	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	64	9.8987	0.33	89.38	9.8913	0.31	270.80
20	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	66	9.9078	0.31	87.70	9.8794	0.31	272.40
22	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	68	9.9156	0.30	86.14	9.8727	0.31	274.05
24	9.8811	0.31	161.00	9.8796	0.31	198.58	70	9.9208	0.30	84.50	9.8783	0.31	275.60
26	9.8941	0.31	148.20	9.8669	0.33	211.89	72	9.9234	0.31	82.96	9.8747	N/A	277.24
28	9.8905	0.30	139.88	9.8628	0.31	220.26	74	9.9161	0.31	81.31	9.8719	N/A	278.86
30	9.8639	0.33	133.55	9.8962	0.33	226.41	76	9.9227	N/A	79.70	9.8635	N/A	280.48
32	9.8811	0.31	128.52	9.8879	0.29	231.52	78	9.9163	N/A	78.08	9.8718	N/A	282.05
34	9.8779	0.32	124.21	9.8692	0.32	235.99	80	9.9128	N/A	76.47	9.8730	N/A	283.66
36	9.8761	0.31	120.61	9.8837	0.32	239.61	82	9.9168	N/A	74.85	9.8666	N/A	285.39
38	9.8835	0.32	117.26	9.8679	0.31	242.96	84	9.9266	N/A	73.14	9.8621	N/A	287.03
40	9.8904	0.31	114.11	9.8936	0.31	246.01	86	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
42	9.8753	0.30	111.34	9.9008	0.31	248.75	88	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
44	9.8927	0.33	108.75	9.8981	0.32	251.33	90	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

N/A - Not Available

Figure 3. Type-B Standard Uncertainty vs Zenith Angle



Figure 4. Residuals from Spline Interpolation



Table 3. Uncertainty using Spline Interpolation ‡

Type-B Standard Uncertainty, u(B) (%)	±0.35
Type-A Interpolating Function, u(int) (%)	±0.19
Combined Standard Uncertainty, u(c) (%)	±0.40
Effective degrees of freedom, DF(c)	18122
Coverage factor, k	1.96
Expanded Uncertainty, U95 (%)	±0.78
AM Valid zenith angle range	24° to 74°
PM Valid zenith angle range	24° to 70°

‡ An illustration for how to reduce the uncertainty in calculating the irradiance using a function rather than R@45°. Not accredited.

Table 4. Calibration Label Values

R @ 45° (µV/W/m²)	Rnet (µV/W/m²) †
9.8792	0

† Rnet determination date: N/A

Table 5. Uncertainty using R @ 45°

Type-B Expanded Uncertainty, U(B) (%)	±0.69
Offset Uncertainty, U(off) (%)	+0.22 / -0.15
Expanded Uncertainty, U (%)	+0.91 / -0.85
Effective degrees of freedom, DF	+Inf
Coverage factor, k	1.96
Valid zenith angle range	30.0° to 60.0°

Figure 5. History of instrument at Zenith Angle = 45°



References:

[1] Reda, I.; Hickey, J.; Long, C.; Myers, D.; Stoffel, T.; Wilcox, S.; Michalsky, J. J.; Dutton, E. G.; Nelson, D. (2005). "Using a Blackbody to Calculate Net Longwave Responsivity of Shortwave Solar Pyranometers to Correct for Their Thermal Offset Error During Outdoor Calibration Using the Component Sum Method." Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology. , 2005; pp. 1531-1540; NREL Report No. JA-560-36646. doi:10.1175/JTECH1782.1

[2] Reda, I.; Myers, D.; Stoffel, T. (2008). "Uncertainty Estimate for the Outdoor Calibration of Solar Pyranometers: A Metrologist Perspective." Measure. (NCSLI Journal of Measurement Science). Vol. 3(4), December 2008; pp. 58-66; NREL Report No. JA-581-4137

[3] Reda, I.; Andreas, A. (2004). "Solar Position Algorithm for Solar Radiation Applications." Solar Energy. Vol. 76(5), 2004; pp. 577-589; NREL Report No. JA-560-35518. doi:10.1016/j.solener.2003.12.003

[4] Stoffel, T.; Reda, I. (2009). "NREL Pyrheliometer Comparisons: 22 September - 3 October 2008 (NPC-2008)." 54 pp.; NREL Report No. TP-550-45016.

[5] Reda, I.; Stoffel, T.; Myers, D. (2003). "Method to Calibrate a Solar Pyranometer for Measuring Reference Diffuse Irradiance." Solar Energy. Vol. 74, 2003; pp. 103-112; NREL Report No. JA-560-35025. doi:10.1016/S0038-092X(03)00124-5

[6] Reda, I. (1996). Calibration of a Solar Absolute Cavity Radiometer with Traceability to the World Radiometric Reference. 79 pp.; NREL Report No. TP-463-20619.

[7] Reda, I.; Gröbner, J.; Stoffel, T.; Myers, D.; Forgan, B. (2008). Improvements in the Blackbody Calibration of Pyrgeometers. ARM 2008 Science Team Meeting (Poster).

National Renewable Energy Laboratory

Solar Radiation Research Laboratory

Metrology Laboratory

Calibration Certificate

Calibration CERT #1239.02

Test Instrument:	Pyranometer	**Manufacturer:**	Kipp & Zonen
Model:	CMP11	**Serial Number:**	091084
Calibration Date:	5/6/2021	**Due Date:**	5/6/2023
Customer:	Peter Gotseff	**Environmental Conditions:**	see page 4
Test Dates:	5/6		

This certifies that the above product was calibrated in compliance with ISO/IEC 17025:2017. Measurement uncertainties at the time of calibration are consistent with the Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurement (GUM) using Reda et al., 2008. All nominal values are traceable to the International System (SI) Units of Measurement.

No statement of compliance with specifications is made or implied on this certificate. However, the estimated uncertainties are the uncertainties of the calibration process; users must add other uncertainties that are relevant to their measuring system, environmental and sky conditions, outdoor set-up, and site location.

The Type-B Standard Uncertainty of using the responsivity at each even zenith angle is reported, and the Expanded Uncertainty of the calibration is reported using two methods:

1. The Expanded Uncertainty of using the responsivity at zenith angle = 45°, within the zenith angle range from 30.0° to 60.0°
2. The Expanded Uncertainty of using Spline Interpolating Functions for the responsivity versus zenith angle.

This certificate applies only to the item identified above and shall not be reproduced other that in full, without specific written approval from the calibration facility. Certificate without signature is not valid.

Table 1. Traceability

Measurement Type	Instrument	Calibration Date	Calibration Due Date
Beam Irradiance †	Eppley Absolute Cavity Radiometer Model HF, S/N 29219	09/27/2019	09/27/2021
Diffuse Irradiance †	Hukseflux Pyranometer Model SR25, S/N 2541	04/30/2021	04/30/2022
Diffuse Irradiance †	Hukseflux Pyranometer Model SR25, S/N 2542	04/30/2021	04/30/2022
Data Acquisition	NREL Data Acquisition System Model RAP-DAQ, S/N 2005-998	02/08/2021	02/08/2023
Data Acquisition	NREL Data Acquisition System Model RAP-DAQ, S/N 2005-999	02/08/2021	02/08/2023
Infrared Irradiance ‡	Kipp & Zonen Pyrgeometer Model CG4, S/N FT002	04/16/2018	04/16/2022

† Through the World Radiometric Reference (WRR)   ‡ Through the World Infrared Standard Group (WISG)

Number of pages of certificate: 4

Calibration Procedure: BORCAL-P00-Calibration and QA Procedure; available upon request.

Setup: Radiometers are calibrated outdoors, using the sun as the source. Pyranometers and pyrgeometers are installed for horizontal measurements, with their signal connectors oriented north, if their design permits.
The shading disk for the reference diffuse subtends a solid angle of 5°. Pyrheliometers are installed on solar trackers.

Calibrated by: Afshin Andreas, Peter Gotseff, and RCC

____ ________________

Ibrahim Reda, Technical Manager   Date

For questions or comments, please contact the technical manager at:
ibrahim.reda@nrel.gov; 303-384-6385; 15013 Denver West Parkway, Golden, CO 80401, USA

Calibration Results

091084 Kipp & Zonen CMP11

The responsivity (R, µV/W/m²) of the test instrument during calibration is calculated using this Measurement Equation:

*R = (V - Rnet * Wnet) / I* [1]

where,

V = radiometer output voltage (microvolts),
Rnet = radiometer net infrared responsivity (µV/W/m²), see Table 4,
Wnet = effective net infrared measured by pyrgeometer (W/m²),
= *Win - Wout* = *Win - σ * Tc^4*
where, *Win* = incoming infrared (W/m²), *σ* = 5.6704e-8 W·m-2·K-4,
Tc = case temperature of pyrgeometer (K).

I = reference irradiance (W/m²), beam (B) or global (G)
where, *G = B * COS(Z) + D,*
Z = zenith angle (degrees),
D = reference diffuse irradiance (W/m²).

Figure 1. Responsivity vs Zenith Angle



Figure 2. Responsivity vs Local Standard Time



Table 2. Instrument Responsivity (R) and Calibration Type-B Standard Uncertainty, u(B)

Zenith	AM			PM			Zenith	AM			PM		
Angle (deg.)	R (µV/W/m²)	u(B) ± (%)	Azimuth Angle	R (µV/W/m²)	u(B) ± (%)	Azimuth Angle	Angle (deg.)	R (µV/W/m²)	u(B) ± (%)	Azimuth Angle	R (µV/W/m²)	u(B) ± (%)	Azimuth Angle
0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	46	8.5166	0.34	106.50	8.4659	0.32	253.72
2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	48	8.5334	0.39	104.12	8.4552	0.32	255.91
4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	50	8.5176	0.34	102.09	8.4819	0.33	258.11
6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	52	8.5403	0.33	100.05	8.4529	0.38	260.07
8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	54	8.5319	0.37	98.13	8.4525	0.34	261.97
10	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	56	8.5253	0.36	96.25	8.4633	0.35	263.89
12	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	58	8.5378	0.39	94.53	8.4644	0.38	265.62
14	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	60	8.5491	0.36	92.73	8.4657	0.37	267.40
16	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	62	8.5659	0.39	91.03	8.4508	0.43	269.05
18	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	64	8.5649	0.40	89.39	8.4264	0.40	270.75
20	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	66	8.6365	0.40	87.75	8.4212	0.42	272.40
22	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	68	8.6345	0.42	86.10	8.4115	0.47	274.01
24	8.5081	0.33	160.86	8.5037	0.33	199.00	70	8.6722	0.44	84.55	8.4155	0.47	275.66
26	8.5013	0.33	148.15	8.4753	0.31	211.98	72	8.7090	0.48	82.92	8.4019	N/A	277.24
28	8.5039	0.33	139.88	8.4605	0.31	219.87	74	8.7384	0.53	81.36	8.3806	N/A	278.82
30	8.4894	0.31	133.67	8.5110	0.32	226.38	76	8.7759	N/A	79.75	8.3581	N/A	280.44
32	8.4954	0.34	128.53	8.4701	0.34	231.69	78	8.8184	N/A	78.14	8.3580	N/A	282.13
34	8.5048	0.33	124.42	8.4638	0.32	235.75	80	8.8177	N/A	76.48	8.3086	N/A	283.71
36	8.4951	0.33	120.45	8.4693	0.37	239.79	82	8.8574	N/A	74.81	8.2314	N/A	285.34
38	8.4994	0.34	117.11	8.4517	0.31	242.97	84	9.0270	N/A	73.19	8.1048	N/A	287.03
40	8.5103	0.34	114.10	8.4712	0.33	246.18	86	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
42	8.4894	0.32	111.35	8.4861	0.31	248.80	88	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
44	8.5091	0.36	108.82	8.4799	0.32	251.33	90	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

N/A - Not Available

Figure 3. Type-B Standard Uncertainty vs Zenith Angle



Figure 4. Residuals from Spline Interpolation



Table 3. Uncertainty using Spline Interpolation ‡

Type-B Standard Uncertainty, u(B) (%)	±0.53
Type-A Interpolating Function, u(int) (%)	±0.29
Combined Standard Uncertainty, u(c) (%)	±0.60
Effective degrees of freedom, DF(c)	16514
Coverage factor, k	1.96
Expanded Uncertainty, U95 (%)	±1.2
AM Valid zenith angle range	24° to 74°
PM Valid zenith angle range	24° to 70°

‡ An illustration for how to reduce the uncertainty in calculating the irradiance using a function rather than R@45°. Not accredited.

Table 4. Calibration Label Values

R @ 45° (μV/W/m²)	Rnet (μV/W/m²) †
8.4836	0.20500

† Rnet determination date: Estimated

Table 5. Uncertainty using R @ 45°

Type-B Expanded Uncertainty, U(B) (%)	±0.76
Offset Uncertainty, U(off) (%)	+0.77 / -0.38
Expanded Uncertainty, U (%)	+1.5 / -1.1
Effective degrees of freedom, DF	+Inf
Coverage factor, k	1.96
Valid zenith angle range	30.0° to 60.0°

Figure 5. History of instrument at Zenith Angle = 45°



References:

[1] Reda, I.; Hickey, J.; Long, C.; Myers, D.; Stoffel, T.; Wilcox, S.; Michalsky, J. J.; Dutton, E. G.; Nelson, D. (2005). "Using a Blackbody to Calculate Net Longwave Responsivity of Shortwave Solar Pyranometers to Correct for Their Thermal Offset Error During Outdoor Calibration Using the Component Sum Method." Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology. , 2005; pp. 1531-1540; NREL Report No. JA-560-36646. doi:10.1175/JTECH1782.1

[2] Reda, I.; Myers, D.; Stoffel, T. (2008). "Uncertainty Estimate for the Outdoor Calibration of Solar Pyranometers: A Metrologist Perspective." Measure. (NCSLI Journal of Measurement Science). Vol. 3(4), December 2008; pp. 58-66; NREL Report No. JA-581-4137

[3] Reda, I.; Andreas, A. (2004). "Solar Position Algorithm for Solar Radiation Applications." Solar Energy. Vol. 76(5), 2004; pp. 577-589; NREL Report No. JA-560-35518. doi:10.1016/j.solener.2003.12.003

[4] Stoffel, T.; Reda, I. (2009). "NREL Pyrheliometer Comparisons: 22 September - 3 October 2008 (NPC-2008)." 54 pp.; NREL Report No. TP-550-45016.

[5] Reda, I.; Stoffel, T.; Myers, D. (2003). "Method to Calibrate a Solar Pyranometer for Measuring Reference Diffuse Irradiance." Solar Energy. Vol. 74, 2003; pp. 103-112; NREL Report No. JA-560-35025. doi:10.1016/S0038-092X(03)00124-5

[6] Reda, I. (1996). Calibration of a Solar Absolute Cavity Radiometer with Traceability to the World Radiometric Reference. 79 pp.; NREL Report No. TP-463-20619.

[7] Reda, I.; Gröbner, J.; Stoffel, T.; Myers, D.; Forgan, B. (2008). Improvements in the Blackbody Calibration of Pyrgeometers. ARM 2008 Science Team Meeting (Poster).

National Renewable Energy Laboratory

Solar Radiation Research Laboratory

Metrology Laboratory

Calibration Certificate

Calibration CERT #1239.02

Test Instrument:	Pyranometer	**Manufacturer:**	Kipp & Zonen
Model:	CMP11	**Serial Number:**	140911
Calibration Date:	5/6/2021	**Due Date:**	5/6/2023
Customer:	Peter Gotseff	**Environmental Conditions:**	see page 4
Test Dates:	5/6		

This certifies that the above product was calibrated in compliance with ISO/IEC 17025:2017. Measurement uncertainties at the time of calibration are consistent with the Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurement (GUM) using Reda et al., 2008. All nominal values are traceable to the International System (SI) Units of Measurement.

No statement of compliance with specifications is made or implied on this certificate. However, the estimated uncertainties are the uncertainties of the calibration process; users must add other uncertainties that are relevant to their measuring system, environmental and sky conditions, outdoor set-up, and site location.

The Type-B Standard Uncertainty of using the responsivity at each even zenith angle is reported, and the Expanded Uncertainty of the calibration is reported using two methods:

1. The Expanded Uncertainty of using the responsivity at zenith angle = 45°, within the zenith angle range from 30.0° to 60.0°
2. The Expanded Uncertainty of using Spline Interpolating Functions for the responsivity versus zenith angle.

This certificate applies only to the item identified above and shall not be reproduced other that in full, without specific written approval from the calibration facility. Certificate without signature is not valid.

Table 1. Traceability

Measurement Type	Instrument	Calibration Date	Calibration Due Date
Beam Irradiance †	Eppley Absolute Cavity Radiometer Model HF, S/N 29219	09/27/2019	09/27/2021
Diffuse Irradiance †	Hukseflux Pyranometer Model SR25, S/N 2541	04/30/2021	04/30/2022
Diffuse Irradiance †	Hukseflux Pyranometer Model SR25, S/N 2542	04/30/2021	04/30/2022
Data Acquisition	NREL Data Acquisition System Model RAP-DAQ, S/N 2005-998	02/08/2021	02/08/2023
Data Acquisition	NREL Data Acquisition System Model RAP-DAQ, S/N 2005-999	02/08/2021	02/08/2023
Infrared Irradiance ‡	Kipp & Zonen Pyrgeometer Model CG4, S/N FT002	04/16/2018	04/16/2022

† Through the World Radiometric Reference (WRR)   ‡ Through the World Infrared Standard Group (WISG)

Number of pages of certificate: 4

Calibration Procedure: BORCAL-P00-Calibration and QA Procedure; available upon request.

Setup: Radiometers are calibrated outdoors, using the sun as the source. Pyranometers and pyrgeometers are installed for horizontal measurements, with their signal connectors oriented north, if their design permits.
The shading disk for the reference diffuse subtends a solid angle of 5°. Pyrheliometers are installed on solar trackers.

Calibrated by: Afshin Andreas, Peter Gotseff, and RCC

________________________________ ______________

Ibrahim Reda, Technical Manager   Date

For questions or comments, please contact the technical manager at:
ibrahim.reda@nrel.gov; 303-384-6385; 15013 Denver West Parkway, Golden, CO 80401, USA

Calibration Results

140911 Kipp & Zonen CMP11

The responsivity (R, µV/W/m²) of the test instrument during calibration is calculated using this Measurement Equation:

$$R = (V - Rnet * Wnet) / I \quad [1]$$

where,

- V = radiometer output voltage (microvolts),
- $Rnet$ = radiometer net infrared responsivity (µV/W/m²), see Table 4,
- $Wnet$ = effective net infrared measured by pyrgeometer (W/m²),
  = $Win - Wout$ = $Win - \sigma * Tc^4$
  where, Win = incoming infrared (W/m²), σ = 5.6704e-8 W·m-2·K-4,
  Tc = case temperature of pyrgeometer (K).
- I = reference irradiance (W/m²), beam (B) or global (G)
  where, $G = B * COS(Z) + D$,
  Z = zenith angle (degrees),
  D = reference diffuse irradiance (W/m²).

Figure 1. Responsivity vs Zenith Angle



Figure 2. Responsivity vs Local Standard Time



Table 2. Instrument Responsivity (R) and Calibration Type-B Standard Uncertainty, u(B)

Zenith	AM			PM			Zenith	AM			PM		
Angle	R	u(B)	Azimuth	R	u(B)	Azimuth	Angle	R	u(B)	Azimuth	R	u(B)	Azimuth
(deg.)	(µV/W/m²)	± (%)	Angle	(µV/W/m²)	± (%)	Angle	(deg.)	(µV/W/m²)	± (%)	Angle	(µV/W/m²)	± (%)	Angle
0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	46	8.6494	0.34	106.50	8.6942	0.32	253.72
2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	48	8.6610	0.39	104.12	8.6869	0.32	255.91
4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	50	8.6276	0.34	102.09	8.6918	0.33	258.11
6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	52	8.6317	0.33	100.05	8.6679	0.38	260.07
8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	54	8.6016	0.37	98.13	8.6605	0.34	261.97
10	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	56	8.5650	0.36	96.25	8.6546	0.35	263.89
12	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	58	8.5431	0.39	94.53	8.6579	0.38	265.62
14	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	60	8.5291	0.36	92.73	8.6460	0.37	267.40
16	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	62	8.5324	0.39	91.03	8.6225	0.43	269.05
18	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	64	8.5152	0.40	89.39	8.6003	0.40	270.75
20	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	66	8.5625	0.40	87.75	8.5894	0.42	272.40
22	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	68	8.5141	0.42	86.10	8.5828	0.47	274.01
24	8.7595	0.33	160.86	8.7699	0.33	199.00	70	8.5330	0.44	84.55	8.5816	0.47	275.66
26	8.7359	0.33	148.15	8.7346	0.31	211.98	72	8.5318	0.48	82.92	8.5715	N/A	277.24
28	8.7359	0.33	139.88	8.7168	0.31	219.87	74	8.5212	0.53	81.36	8.5533	N/A	278.82
30	8.7176	0.31	133.76	8.7755	0.32	226.38	76	8.5095	N/A	79.75	8.5249	N/A	280.44
32	8.7077	0.34	128.53	8.7255	0.34	231.69	78	8.4960	N/A	78.14	8.5073	N/A	282.13
34	8.7118	0.33	124.42	8.7201	0.32	235.75	80	8.4526	N/A	76.48	8.4305	N/A	283.71
36	8.6898	0.33	120.45	8.7173	0.37	239.79	82	8.4233	N/A	74.81	8.3169	N/A	285.34
38	8.6875	0.34	117.11	8.7048	0.31	242.97	84	8.5072	N/A	73.19	8.1338	N/A	287.03
40	8.6846	0.34	114.10	8.7230	0.33	246.18	86	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
42	8.6417	0.32	111.35	8.7287	0.31	248.80	88	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
44	8.6623	0.36	108.82	8.7203	0.32	251.33	90	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

N/A - Not Available

Figure 3. Type-B Standard Uncertainty vs Zenith Angle



Figure 4. Residuals from Spline Interpolation



Table 3. Uncertainty using Spline Interpolation ‡

Type-B Standard Uncertainty, u(B) (%)	±0.53
Type-A Interpolating Function, u(int) (%)	±0.33
Combined Standard Uncertainty, u(c) (%)	±0.62
Effective degrees of freedom, DF(c)	11291
Coverage factor, k	1.96
Expanded Uncertainty, U95 (%)	±1.2
AM Valid zenith angle range	24° to 74°
PM Valid zenith angle range	24° to 70°

‡ An illustration for how to reduce the uncertainty in calculating the irradiance using a function rather than R@45°. Not accredited.

Table 4. Calibration Label Values

R @ 45° (µV/W/m²)	Rnet (µV/W/m²) †
8.6715	0.20500

† Rnet determination date: Estimated

Table 5. Uncertainty using R @ 45°

Type-B Expanded Uncertainty, U(B) (%)	±0.76
Offset Uncertainty, U(off) (%)	+1.2 / -1.6
Expanded Uncertainty, U (%)	+2.0 / -2.4
Effective degrees of freedom, DF	+Inf
Coverage factor, k	1.96
Valid zenith angle range	30.0° to 60.0°

Figure 5. History of instrument at Zenith Angle = 45°



References:

[1] Reda, I.; Hickey, J.; Long, C.; Myers, D.; Stoffel, T.; Wilcox, S.; Michalsky, J. J.; Dutton, E. G.; Nelson, D. (2005). "Using a Blackbody to Calculate Net Longwave Responsivity of Shortwave Solar Pyranometers to Correct for Their Thermal Offset Error During Outdoor Calibration Using the Component Sum Method." Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology. , 2005; pp. 1531-1540; NREL Report No. JA-560-36646. doi:10.1175/JTECH1782.1

[2] Reda, I.; Myers, D.; Stoffel, T. (2008). "Uncertainty Estimate for the Outdoor Calibration of Solar Pyranometers: A Metrologist Perspective." Measure. (NCSLI Journal of Measurement Science). Vol. 3(4), December 2008; pp. 58-66; NREL Report No. JA-581-4137

[3] Reda, I.; Andreas, A. (2004). "Solar Position Algorithm for Solar Radiation Applications." Solar Energy. Vol. 76(5), 2004; pp. 577-589; NREL Report No. JA-560-35518. doi:10.1016/j.solener.2003.12.003

[4] Stoffel, T.; Reda, I. (2009). "NREL Pyrheliometer Comparisons: 22 September - 3 October 2008 (NPC-2008)." 54 pp.; NREL Report No. TP-550-45016.

[5] Reda, I.; Stoffel, T.; Myers, D. (2003). "Method to Calibrate a Solar Pyranometer for Measuring Reference Diffuse Irradiance." Solar Energy. Vol. 74, 2003; pp. 103-112; NREL Report No. JA-560-35025. doi:10.1016/S0038-092X(03)00124-5

[6] Reda, I. (1996). Calibration of a Solar Absolute Cavity Radiometer with Traceability to the World Radiometric Reference. 79 pp.; NREL Report No. TP-463-20619.

[7] Reda, I.; Gröbner, J.; Stoffel, T.; Myers, D.; Forgan, B. (2008). Improvements in the Blackbody Calibration of Pyrgeometers. ARM 2008 Science Team Meeting (Poster).

National Renewable Energy Laboratory

Solar Radiation Research Laboratory

Metrology Laboratory

Calibration Certificate

Calibration CERT #1239.02

Test Instrument:	Pyranometer	**Manufacturer:**	Kipp & Zonen
Model:	CMP11	**Serial Number:**	153055
Calibration Date:	5/6/2021	**Due Date:**	5/6/2023
Customer:	Peter Gotseff	**Environmental Conditions:**	see page 4
Test Dates:	5/6		

This certifies that the above product was calibrated in compliance with ISO/IEC 17025:2017. Measurement uncertainties at the time of calibration are consistent with the Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurement (GUM) using Reda et al., 2008. All nominal values are traceable to the International System (SI) Units of Measurement.

No statement of compliance with specifications is made or implied on this certificate. However, the estimated uncertainties are the uncertainties of the calibration process; users must add other uncertainties that are relevant to their measuring system, environmental and sky conditions, outdoor set-up, and site location.

The Type-B Standard Uncertainty of using the responsivity at each even zenith angle is reported, and the Expanded Uncertainty of the calibration is reported using two methods:

1. The Expanded Uncertainty of using the responsivity at zenith angle = 45°, within the zenith angle range from 30.0° to 60.0°
2. The Expanded Uncertainty of using Spline Interpolating Functions for the responsivity versus zenith angle.

This certificate applies only to the item identified above and shall not be reproduced other that in full, without specific written approval from the calibration facility. Certificate without signature is not valid.

Table 1. Traceability

Measurement Type	Instrument	Calibration Date	Calibration Due Date
Beam Irradiance †	Eppley Absolute Cavity Radiometer Model HF, S/N 29219	09/27/2019	09/27/2021
Diffuse Irradiance †	Hukseflux Pyranometer Model SR25, S/N 2541	04/30/2021	04/30/2022
Diffuse Irradiance †	Hukseflux Pyranometer Model SR25, S/N 2542	04/30/2021	04/30/2022
Data Acquisition	NREL Data Acquisition System Model RAP-DAQ, S/N 2005-998	02/08/2021	02/08/2023
Data Acquisition	NREL Data Acquisition System Model RAP-DAQ, S/N 2005-999	02/08/2021	02/08/2023
Infrared Irradiance ‡	Kipp & Zonen Pyrgeometer Model CG4, S/N FT002	04/16/2018	04/16/2022

† Through the World Radiometric Reference (WRR) ‡ Through the World Infrared Standard Group (WISG)

Number of pages of certificate: 4

Calibration Procedure: BORCAL-P00-Calibration and QA Procedure; available upon request.

Setup: Radiometers are calibrated outdoors, using the sun as the source. Pyranometers and pyrgeometers are installed for horizontal measurements, with their signal connectors oriented north, if their design permits.
The shading disk for the reference diffuse subtends a solid angle of 5°. Pyrheliometers are installed on solar trackers.

Calibrated by: Afshin Andreas, Peter Gotseff, and RCC

______________________________ ____________

Ibrahim Reda, Technical Manager Date

For questions or comments, please contact the technical manager at:
ibrahim.reda@nrel.gov; 303-384-6385; 15013 Denver West Parkway, Golden, CO 80401, USA

Calibration Results

153055 Kipp & Zonen CMP11

The responsivity (R, µV/W/m²) of the test instrument during calibration is calculated using this Measurement Equation:

$$R = (V - Rnet * Wnet) / I \quad [1]$$

where,

- V = radiometer output voltage (microvolts),
- $Rnet$ = radiometer net infrared responsivity (µV/W/m²), see Table 4,
- $Wnet$ = effective net infrared measured by pyrgeometer (W/m²),
  = $Win - Wout$ = $Win - \sigma * Tc^4$
  where, Win = incoming infrared (W/m²), σ = 5.6704e-8 W·m-2·K-4,
  Tc = case temperature of pyrgeometer (K).
- I = reference irradiance (W/m²), beam (B) or global (G)
  where, $G = B * COS(Z) + D$,
  Z = zenith angle (degrees),
  D = reference diffuse irradiance (W/m²).

Figure 1. Responsivity vs Zenith Angle



Figure 2. Responsivity vs Local Standard Time



Table 2. Instrument Responsivity (R) and Calibration Type-B Standard Uncertainty, u(B)

Zenith	AM			PM			Zenith	AM			PM		
Angle (deg.)	R (µV/W/m²)	u(B) ± (%)	Azimuth Angle	R (µV/W/m²)	u(B) ± (%)	Azimuth Angle	Angle (deg.)	R (µV/W/m²)	u(B) ± (%)	Azimuth Angle	R (µV/W/m²)	u(B) ± (%)	Azimuth Angle
0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	46	10.959	0.33	106.50	10.932	0.32	253.72
2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	48	10.962	0.38	104.12	10.931	0.32	255.91
4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	50	10.938	0.34	102.09	10.925	0.33	258.11
6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	52	10.950	0.32	100.05	10.898	0.37	260.07
8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	54	10.930	0.37	98.13	10.883	0.34	261.97
10	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	56	10.909	0.36	96.25	10.884	0.35	263.89
12	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	58	10.899	0.39	94.53	10.870	0.38	265.62
14	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	60	10.898	0.35	92.73	10.862	0.37	267.40
16	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	62	10.884	0.39	91.03	10.843	0.43	269.05
18	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	64	10.865	0.40	89.39	10.794	0.39	270.75
20	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	66	10.917	0.39	87.75	10.796	0.41	272.40
22	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	68	10.889	0.41	86.10	10.775	0.47	274.01
24	11.007	0.33	160.86	11.007	0.33	199.00	70	10.910	0.44	84.55	10.765	0.46	275.66
26	10.994	0.33	148.15	10.972	0.30	211.98	72	10.922	0.47	82.92	10.749	N/A	277.24
28	10.989	0.33	139.88	10.959	0.31	219.87	74	10.931	0.52	81.36	10.724	N/A	278.82
30	10.996	0.31	133.76	11.001	0.32	226.38	76	10.964	N/A	79.75	10.723	N/A	280.44
32	10.975	0.34	128.53	10.954	0.34	231.69	78	10.987	N/A	78.14	10.713	N/A	282.13
34	10.980	0.33	124.42	10.959	0.32	235.75	80	10.929	N/A	76.48	10.699	N/A	283.71
36	10.974	0.33	120.45	10.969	0.37	239.79	82	10.874	N/A	74.81	10.639	N/A	285.34
38	10.957	0.34	117.11	10.955	0.31	242.97	84	11.040	N/A	73.19	10.532	N/A	287.03
40	10.968	0.34	114.10	10.967	0.33	246.18	86	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
42	10.951	0.32	111.35	10.969	0.31	248.80	88	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
44	10.951	0.36	108.82	10.958	0.32	251.33	90	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

N/A - Not Available

Figure 3. Type-B Standard Uncertainty vs Zenith Angle



Figure 4. Residuals from Spline Interpolation



Table 3. Uncertainty using Spline Interpolation ‡

Type-B Standard Uncertainty, u(B) (%)	±0.52
Type-A Interpolating Function, u(int) (%)	±0.21
Combined Standard Uncertainty, u(c) (%)	±0.56
Effective degrees of freedom, DF(c)	42083
Coverage factor, k	1.96
Expanded Uncertainty, U95 (%)	±1.1
AM Valid zenith angle range	24° to 74°
PM Valid zenith angle range	24° to 70°

‡ An illustration for how to reduce the uncertainty in calculating the irradiance using a function rather than R@45°. Not accredited.

Table 4. Calibration Label Values

R @ 45° (μV/W/m²)	Rnet (μV/W/m²) †
10.941	0.20500

† Rnet determination date: Estimated

Table 5. Uncertainty using R @ 45°

Type-B Expanded Uncertainty, U(B) (%)	±0.76
Offset Uncertainty, U(off) (%)	+0.55 / -0.72
Expanded Uncertainty, U (%)	+1.3 / -1.5
Effective degrees of freedom, DF	+Inf
Coverage factor, k	1.96
Valid zenith angle range	30.0° to 60.0°

Figure 5. History of instrument at Zenith Angle = 45°



References:

[1] Reda, I.; Hickey, J.; Long, C.; Myers, D.; Stoffel, T.; Wilcox, S.; Michalsky, J. J.; Dutton, E. G.; Nelson, D. (2005). "Using a Blackbody to Calculate Net Longwave Responsivity of Shortwave Solar Pyranometers to Correct for Their Thermal Offset Error During Outdoor Calibration Using the Component Sum Method." Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology. , 2005; pp. 1531-1540; NREL Report No. JA-560-36646. doi:10.1175/JTECH1782.1

[2] Reda, I.; Myers, D.; Stoffel, T. (2008). "Uncertainty Estimate for the Outdoor Calibration of Solar Pyranometers: A Metrologist Perspective." Measure. (NCSLI Journal of Measurement Science). Vol. 3(4), December 2008; pp. 58-66; NREL Report No. JA-581-4137

[3] Reda, I.; Andreas, A. (2004). "Solar Position Algorithm for Solar Radiation Applications." Solar Energy. Vol. 76(5), 2004; pp. 577-589; NREL Report No. JA-560-35518. doi:10.1016/j.solener.2003.12.003

[4] Stoffel, T.; Reda, I. (2009). "NREL Pyrheliometer Comparisons: 22 September - 3 October 2008 (NPC-2008)." 54 pp.; NREL Report No. TP-550-45016.

[5] Reda, I.; Stoffel, T.; Myers, D. (2003). "Method to Calibrate a Solar Pyranometer for Measuring Reference Diffuse Irradiance." Solar Energy. Vol. 74, 2003; pp. 103-112; NREL Report No. JA-560-35025. doi:10.1016/S0038-092X(03)00124-5

[6] Reda, I. (1996). Calibration of a Solar Absolute Cavity Radiometer with Traceability to the World Radiometric Reference. 79 pp.; NREL Report No. TP-463-20619.

[7] Reda, I.; Gröbner, J.; Stoffel, T.; Myers, D.; Forgan, B. (2008). Improvements in the Blackbody Calibration of Pyrgeometers. ARM 2008 Science Team Meeting (Poster).

National Renewable Energy Laboratory

Solar Radiation Research Laboratory

Metrology Laboratory

Calibration Certificate

Calibration CERT #1239.02

Test Instrument:	Pyranometer	**Manufacturer:**	Kipp & Zonen
Model:	CMP11	**Serial Number:**	163580
Calibration Date:	5/6/2021	**Due Date:**	5/6/2023
Customer:	Peter Gotseff	**Environmental Conditions:**	see page 4
Test Dates:	5/6		

This certifies that the above product was calibrated in compliance with ISO/IEC 17025:2017. Measurement uncertainties at the time of calibration are consistent with the Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurement (GUM) using Reda et al., 2008. All nominal values are traceable to the International System (SI) Units of Measurement.

No statement of compliance with specifications is made or implied on this certificate. However, the estimated uncertainties are the uncertainties of the calibration process; users must add other uncertainties that are relevant to their measuring system, environmental and sky conditions, outdoor set-up, and site location.

The Type-B Standard Uncertainty of using the responsivity at each even zenith angle is reported, and the Expanded Uncertainty of the calibration is reported using two methods:

1. The Expanded Uncertainty of using the responsivity at zenith angle = 45°, within the zenith angle range from 30.0° to 60.0°
2. The Expanded Uncertainty of using Spline Interpolating Functions for the responsivity versus zenith angle.

This certificate applies only to the item identified above and shall not be reproduced other that in full, without specific written approval from the calibration facility. Certificate without signature is not valid.

Table 1. Traceability

Measurement Type	Instrument	Calibration Date	Calibration Due Date
Beam Irradiance †	Eppley Absolute Cavity Radiometer Model HF, S/N 29219	09/27/2019	09/27/2021
Diffuse Irradiance †	Hukseflux Pyranometer Model SR25, S/N 2541	04/30/2021	04/30/2022
Diffuse Irradiance †	Hukseflux Pyranometer Model SR25, S/N 2542	04/30/2021	04/30/2022
Data Acquisition	NREL Data Acquisition System Model RAP-DAQ, S/N 2005-998	02/08/2021	02/08/2023
Data Acquisition	NREL Data Acquisition System Model RAP-DAQ, S/N 2005-999	02/08/2021	02/08/2023
Infrared Irradiance ‡	Kipp & Zonen Pyrgeometer Model CG4, S/N FT002	04/16/2018	04/16/2022

† Through the World Radiometric Reference (WRR)   ‡ Through the World Infrared Standard Group (WISG)

Number of pages of certificate: 4

Calibration Procedure: BORCAL-P00-Calibration and QA Procedure; available upon request.

Setup: Radiometers are calibrated outdoors, using the sun as the source. Pyranometers and pyrgeometers are installed for horizontal measurements, with their signal connectors oriented north, if their design permits.
The shading disk for the reference diffuse subtends a solid angle of 5°. Pyrheliometers are installed on solar trackers.

Calibrated by: Afshin Andreas, Peter Gotseff, and RCC

____________________________________ ______________

Ibrahim Reda, Technical Manager   Date

For questions or comments, please contact the technical manager at:
ibrahim.reda@nrel.gov; 303-384-6385; 15013 Denver West Parkway, Golden, CO 80401, USA

Calibration Results

163580 Kipp & Zonen CMP11

The responsivity (R, µV/W/m²) of the test instrument during calibration is calculated using this Measurement Equation:

*R = (V - Rnet * Wnet) / I* [1]

where,

V = radiometer output voltage (microvolts),

Rnet = radiometer net infrared responsivity (µV/W/m²), see Table 4,

Wnet = effective net infrared measured by pyrgeometer (W/m²),

= *Win - Wout* = *Win - σ * Tc^4*

where, *Win* = incoming infrared (W/m²), *σ* = 5.6704e-8 W·m-2·K-4,

Tc = case temperature of pyrgeometer (K).

I = reference irradiance (W/m²), beam (B) or global (G)

where, *G = B * COS(Z) + D,*

Z = zenith angle (degrees),

D = reference diffuse irradiance (W/m²).

Figure 1. Responsivity vs Zenith Angle



Figure 2. Responsivity vs Local Standard Time



Table 2. Instrument Responsivity (R) and Calibration Type-B Standard Uncertainty, u(B)

Zenith	AM			PM			Zenith	AM			PM		
Angle (deg.)	R (µV/W/m²)	u(B) ± (%)	Azimuth Angle	R (µV/W/m²)	u(B) ± (%)	Azimuth Angle	Angle (deg.)	R (µV/W/m²)	u(B) ± (%)	Azimuth Angle	R (µV/W/m²)	u(B) ± (%)	Azimuth Angle
0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	46	9.0605	0.34	106.50	9.0888	0.32	253.72
2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	48	9.0728	0.38	104.12	9.0930	0.32	255.91
4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	50	9.0403	0.34	102.09	9.0961	0.33	258.11
6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	52	9.0496	0.33	100.05	9.0800	0.38	260.07
8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	54	9.0297	0.37	98.13	9.0682	0.34	261.97
10	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	56	9.0065	0.36	96.25	9.0754	0.35	263.89
12	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	58	8.9854	0.39	94.53	9.0780	0.38	265.62
14	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	60	8.9733	0.36	92.73	9.0721	0.37	267.40
16	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	62	8.9766	0.39	91.03	9.0606	0.43	269.05
18	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	64	8.9607	0.40	89.39	9.0364	0.40	270.75
20	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	66	9.0206	0.40	87.75	9.0327	0.42	272.40
22	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	68	8.9780	0.42	86.10	9.0310	0.47	274.01
24	9.1219	0.33	160.86	9.1316	0.33	199.00	70	9.0132	0.44	84.55	9.0395	0.47	275.66
26	9.1011	0.33	148.15	9.1007	0.31	211.98	72	9.0203	0.48	82.92	9.0429	N/A	277.24
28	9.1041	0.33	139.88	9.0921	0.31	219.87	74	9.0219	0.52	81.36	9.0413	N/A	278.82
30	9.1021	0.31	133.76	9.1454	0.32	226.38	76	9.0294	N/A	79.75	9.0284	N/A	280.44
32	9.0888	0.34	128.53	9.0974	0.34	231.69	78	9.0442	N/A	78.14	9.0227	N/A	282.13
34	9.0986	0.33	124.42	9.1007	0.32	235.75	80	8.9798	N/A	76.48	8.9824	N/A	283.71
36	9.0884	0.33	120.45	9.1026	0.37	239.79	82	8.9442	N/A	74.81	8.9093	N/A	285.34
38	9.0810	0.34	117.11	9.0960	0.31	242.97	84	9.1514	N/A	73.19	8.7853	N/A	287.03
40	9.0834	0.34	114.10	9.1145	0.33	246.18	86	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
42	9.0534	0.32	111.35	9.1202	0.31	248.80	88	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
44	9.0656	0.36	108.82	9.1152	0.32	251.33	90	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

N/A - Not Available

Figure 3. Type-B Standard Uncertainty vs Zenith Angle



Figure 4. Residuals from Spline Interpolation



Table 3. Uncertainty using Spline Interpolation ‡

Type-B Standard Uncertainty, u(B) (%)	±0.52
Type-A Interpolating Function, u(int) (%)	±0.28
Combined Standard Uncertainty, u(c) (%)	±0.59
Effective degrees of freedom, DF(c)	18923
Coverage factor, k	1.96
Expanded Uncertainty, U95 (%)	±1.2
AM Valid zenith angle range	24° to 74°
PM Valid zenith angle range	24° to 70°

‡ An illustration for how to reduce the uncertainty in calculating the irradiance using a function rather than R@45°. Not accredited.

Table 4. Calibration Label Values

R @ 45° (µV/W/m²)	Rnet (µV/W/m²) †
9.0757	0.20500

† Rnet determination date: Estimated

Table 5. Uncertainty using R @ 45°

Type-B Expanded Uncertainty, U(B) (%)	±0.76
Offset Uncertainty, U(off) (%)	+0.77 / -1.1
Expanded Uncertainty, U (%)	+1.5 / -1.9
Effective degrees of freedom, DF	+Inf
Coverage factor, k	1.96
Valid zenith angle range	30.0° to 60.0°

Figure 5. History of instrument at Zenith Angle = 45°



References:

[1] Reda, I.; Hickey, J.; Long, C.; Myers, D.; Stoffel, T.; Wilcox, S.; Michalsky, J. J.; Dutton, E. G.; Nelson, D. (2005). "Using a Blackbody to Calculate Net Longwave Responsivity of Shortwave Solar Pyranometers to Correct for Their Thermal Offset Error During Outdoor Calibration Using the Component Sum Method." Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology. , 2005; pp. 1531-1540; NREL Report No. JA-560-36646. doi:10.1175/JTECH1782.1

[2] Reda, I.; Myers, D.; Stoffel, T. (2008). "Uncertainty Estimate for the Outdoor Calibration of Solar Pyranometers: A Metrologist Perspective." Measure. (NCSLI Journal of Measurement Science). Vol. 3(4), December 2008; pp. 58-66; NREL Report No. JA-581-4137

[3] Reda, I.; Andreas, A. (2004). "Solar Position Algorithm for Solar Radiation Applications." Solar Energy. Vol. 76(5), 2004; pp. 577-589; NREL Report No. JA-560-35518. doi:10.1016/j.solener.2003.12.003

[4] Stoffel, T.; Reda, I. (2009). "NREL Pyrheliometer Comparisons: 22 September - 3 October 2008 (NPC-2008)." 54 pp.; NREL Report No. TP-550-45016.

[5] Reda, I.; Stoffel, T.; Myers, D. (2003). "Method to Calibrate a Solar Pyranometer for Measuring Reference Diffuse Irradiance." Solar Energy. Vol. 74, 2003; pp. 103-112; NREL Report No. JA-560-35025. doi:10.1016/S0038-092X(03)00124-5

[6] Reda, I. (1996). Calibration of a Solar Absolute Cavity Radiometer with Traceability to the World Radiometric Reference. 79 pp.; NREL Report No. TP-463-20619.

[7] Reda, I.; Gröbner, J.; Stoffel, T.; Myers, D.; Forgan, B. (2008). Improvements in the Blackbody Calibration of Pyrgeometers. ARM 2008 Science Team Meeting (Poster).

National Renewable Energy Laboratory

Solar Radiation Research Laboratory

Metrology Laboratory

Calibration Certificate

Calibration CERT #1239.02

Test Instrument:	Black and White Pyranometer	**Manufacturer:**	Eppley
Model:	8-48	**Serial Number:**	35243
Calibration Date:	5/6/2021	**Due Date:**	5/6/2022
Customer:	Peter Gotseff	**Environmental Conditions:**	see page 4
Test Dates:	5/6		

This certifies that the above product was calibrated in compliance with ISO/IEC 17025:2017. Measurement uncertainties at the time of calibration are consistent with the Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurement (GUM) using Reda et al., 2008. All nominal values are traceable to the International System (SI) Units of Measurement.

No statement of compliance with specifications is made or implied on this certificate. However, the estimated uncertainties are the uncertainties of the calibration process; users must add other uncertainties that are relevant to their measuring system, environmental and sky conditions, outdoor set-up, and site location.

The Type-B Standard Uncertainty of using the responsivity at each even zenith angle is reported, and the Expanded Uncertainty of the calibration is reported using two methods:

1. The Expanded Uncertainty of using the responsivity at zenith angle = 45°, within the zenith angle range from 30.0° to 60.0°
2. The Expanded Uncertainty of using Spline Interpolating Functions for the responsivity versus zenith angle.

This certificate applies only to the item identified above and shall not be reproduced other that in full, without specific written approval from the calibration facility. Certificate without signature is not valid.

Table 1. Traceability

Measurement Type	Instrument	Calibration Date	Calibration Due Date
Beam Irradiance †	Eppley Absolute Cavity Radiometer Model HF, S/N 29219	09/27/2019	09/27/2021
Diffuse Irradiance †	Hukseflux Pyranometer Model SR25, S/N 2541	04/30/2021	04/30/2022
Diffuse Irradiance †	Hukseflux Pyranometer Model SR25, S/N 2542	04/30/2021	04/30/2022
Data Acquisition	NREL Data Acquisition System Model RAP-DAQ, S/N 2005-998	02/08/2021	02/08/2023
Data Acquisition	NREL Data Acquisition System Model RAP-DAQ, S/N 2005-999	02/08/2021	02/08/2023

† Through the World Radiometric Reference (WRR)

Number of pages of certificate: 4

Calibration Procedure: BORCAL-P00-Calibration and QA Procedure; available upon request.

Setup: Radiometers are calibrated outdoors, using the sun as the source. Pyranometers and pyrgeometers are installed for horizontal measurements, with their signal connectors oriented north, if their design permits.
The shading disk for the reference diffuse subtends a solid angle of 5°. Pyrheliometers are installed on solar trackers.

Calibrated by: Afshin Andreas, Peter Gotseff, and RCC

______________________________ ____________

Ibrahim Reda, Technical Manager — Date

For questions or comments, please contact the technical manager at:
ibrahim.reda@nrel.gov; 303-384-6385; 15013 Denver West Parkway, Golden, CO 80401, USA

Calibration Results

35243 Eppley 8-48

The responsivity (R, μV/W/m²) of the test instrument during calibration is calculated using this Measurement Equation:

$$R = (V - Rnet * Wnet) / I \quad [1]$$

where,

V = radiometer output voltage (microvolts),
Rnet = radiometer net infrared responsivity (μV/W/m²), see Table 4,
Wnet = effective net infrared measured by pyrgeometer (W/m²),
= *Win - Wout* = *Win* - σ * *Tc^4*
where, *Win* = incoming infrared (W/m²), σ = 5.6704e-8 W·m-2·K-4,
Tc = case temperature of pyrgeometer (K).

I = reference irradiance (W/m²), beam (B) or global (G)
where, *G = B * COS(Z) + D,*
Z = zenith angle (degrees),
D = reference diffuse irradiance (W/m²).

Figure 1. Responsivity vs Zenith Angle



Figure 2. Responsivity vs Local Standard Time



Table 2. Instrument Responsivity (R) and Calibration Type-B Standard Uncertainty, u(B)

Zenith	AM			PM			Zenith	AM			PM		
Angle (deg.)	R (μV/W/m²)	u(B) ± (%)	Azimuth Angle	R (μV/W/m²)	u(B) ± (%)	Azimuth Angle	Angle (deg.)	R (μV/W/m²)	u(B) ± (%)	Azimuth Angle	R (μV/W/m²)	u(B) ± (%)	Azimuth Angle
0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	46	9.0481	0.37	106.32	8.7264	0.32	253.77
2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	48	9.0081	0.38	104.14	8.7072	0.34	255.98
4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	50	9.0448	0.36	102.07	8.7447	0.32	258.09
6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	52	9.1143	0.39	100.06	8.7421	0.39	260.12
8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	54	9.1324	0.35	98.23	8.7620	0.36	262.04
10	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	56	9.1576	0.33	96.29	8.7712	0.34	263.82
12	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	58	9.2512	0.36	94.47	8.8067	0.37	265.66
14	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	60	9.3285	0.39	92.80	8.8376	0.40	267.39
16	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	62	9.3358	0.43	91.07	8.8350	0.40	269.09
18	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	64	9.2776	0.37	89.37	8.8457	0.42	270.79
20	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	66	9.4856	0.39	87.79	8.8583	0.41	272.39
22	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	68	9.5006	0.41	86.13	8.8719	0.43	274.04
24	8.7193	0.32	161.09	8.6596	0.32	198.73	70	9.5364	0.43	84.49	8.9048	0.46	275.64
26	8.6860	0.34	148.13	8.6059	0.31	212.16	72	9.5875	0.50	82.95	8.9069	N/A	277.23
28	8.7202	0.34	139.89	8.6082	0.34	220.14	74	9.6177	0.51	81.30	8.9279	N/A	278.85
30	8.7131	0.31	133.52	8.7141	0.32	226.38	76	9.6544	N/A	79.69	8.8887	N/A	280.47
32	8.7480	0.36	128.50	8.6548	0.33	231.39	78	9.6701	N/A	78.12	8.8899	N/A	282.02
34	8.8832	0.36	124.09	8.6422	0.30	235.77	80	9.6732	N/A	76.46	8.8505	N/A	283.69
36	8.8185	0.33	120.54	8.6620	0.35	239.52	82	9.6587	N/A	74.85	8.7722	N/A	285.38
38	8.9218	0.34	117.16	8.6570	0.32	243.03	84	N/A	N/A	N/A	8.6340	N/A	287.02
40	9.0009	0.33	114.09	8.7095	0.31	246.04	86	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
42	8.8976	0.33	111.37	8.7601	0.32	248.78	88	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
44	9.0295	0.34	108.80	8.7342	0.31	251.31	90	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

N/A - Not Available

Figure 3. Type-B Standard Uncertainty vs Zenith Angle



Figure 4. Residuals from Spline Interpolation



Table 3. Uncertainty using Spline Interpolation ‡

Type-B Standard Uncertainty, u(B) (%)	±0.51
Type-A Interpolating Function, u(int) (%)	±0.87
Combined Standard Uncertainty, u(c) (%)	±1.0
Effective degrees of freedom, DF(c)	1631
Coverage factor, k	1.96
Expanded Uncertainty, U95 (%)	±2.0
AM Valid zenith angle range	24° to 74°
PM Valid zenith angle range	24° to 70°

‡ An illustration for how to reduce the uncertainty in calculating the irradiance using a function rather than R@45°. Not accredited.

Table 4. Calibration Label Values

R @ 45° (μV/W/m²)	Rnet (μV/W/m²) †
8.8191	0

† Rnet determination date: N/A

Table 5. Uncertainty using R @ 45°

Type-B Expanded Uncertainty, U(B) (%)	±0.79
Offset Uncertainty, U(off) (%)	+5.8 / -2.0
Expanded Uncertainty, U (%)	+6.6 / -2.8
Effective degrees of freedom, DF	+Inf
Coverage factor, k	1.96
Valid zenith angle range	30.0° to 60.0°

Figure 5. History of instrument at Zenith Angle = 45°



References:

[1] Reda, I.; Hickey, J.; Long, C.; Myers, D.; Stoffel, T.; Wilcox, S.; Michalsky, J. J.; Dutton, E. G.; Nelson, D. (2005). "Using a Blackbody to Calculate Net Longwave Responsivity of Shortwave Solar Pyranometers to Correct for Their Thermal Offset Error During Outdoor Calibration Using the Component Sum Method." Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology. , 2005; pp. 1531-1540; NREL Report No. JA-560-36646. doi:10.1175/JTECH1782.1

[2] Reda, I.; Myers, D.; Stoffel, T. (2008). "Uncertainty Estimate for the Outdoor Calibration of Solar Pyranometers: A Metrologist Perspective." Measure. (NCSLI Journal of Measurement Science). Vol. 3(4), December 2008; pp. 58-66; NREL Report No. JA-581-4137

[3] Reda, I.; Andreas, A. (2004). "Solar Position Algorithm for Solar Radiation Applications." Solar Energy. Vol. 76(5), 2004; pp. 577-589; NREL Report No. JA-560-35518. doi:10.1016/j.solener.2003.12.003

[4] Stoffel, T.; Reda, I. (2009). "NREL Pyrheliometer Comparisons: 22 September - 3 October 2008 (NPC-2008)." 54 pp.; NREL Report No. TP-550-45016.

[5] Reda, I.; Stoffel, T.; Myers, D. (2003). "Method to Calibrate a Solar Pyranometer for Measuring Reference Diffuse Irradiance." Solar Energy. Vol. 74, 2003; pp. 103-112; NREL Report No. JA-560-35025. doi:10.1016/S0038-092X(03)00124-5

[6] Reda, I. (1996). Calibration of a Solar Absolute Cavity Radiometer with Traceability to the World Radiometric Reference. 79 pp.; NREL Report No. TP-463-20619.

[7] Reda, I.; Gröbner, J.; Stoffel, T.; Myers, D.; Forgan, B. (2008). Improvements in the Blackbody Calibration of Pyrgeometers. ARM 2008 Science Team Meeting (Poster).

National Renewable Energy Laboratory

Solar Radiation Research Laboratory

Metrology Laboratory

Calibration Certificate

Calibration CERT #1239.02

Test Instrument:	Pyranometer	**Manufacturer:**	Kipp & Zonen
Model:	CM21	**Serial Number:**	920058
Calibration Date:	5/6/2021	**Due Date:**	5/6/2022
Customer:	Peter Gotseff	**Environmental Conditions:**	see page 4
Test Dates:	5/6		

This certifies that the above product was calibrated in compliance with ISO/IEC 17025:2017. Measurement uncertainties at the time of calibration are consistent with the Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurement (GUM) using Reda et al., 2008. All nominal values are traceable to the International System (SI) Units of Measurement.

No statement of compliance with specifications is made or implied on this certificate. However, the estimated uncertainties are the uncertainties of the calibration process; users must add other uncertainties that are relevant to their measuring system, environmental and sky conditions, outdoor set-up, and site location.

The Type-B Standard Uncertainty of using the responsivity at each even zenith angle is reported, and the Expanded Uncertainty of the calibration is reported using two methods:

1. The Expanded Uncertainty of using the responsivity at zenith angle = 45°, within the zenith angle range from 30.0° to 60.0°
2. The Expanded Uncertainty of using Spline Interpolating Functions for the responsivity versus zenith angle.

This certificate applies only to the item identified above and shall not be reproduced other that in full, without specific written approval from the calibration facility. Certificate without signature is not valid.

Table 1. Traceability

Measurement Type	Instrument	Calibration Date	Calibration Due Date
Beam Irradiance †	Eppley Absolute Cavity Radiometer Model HF, S/N 29219	09/27/2019	09/27/2021
Diffuse Irradiance †	Hukseflux Pyranometer Model SR25, S/N 2541	04/30/2021	04/30/2022
Diffuse Irradiance †	Hukseflux Pyranometer Model SR25, S/N 2542	04/30/2021	04/30/2022
Data Acquisition	NREL Data Acquisition System Model RAP-DAQ, S/N 2005-998	02/08/2021	02/08/2023
Data Acquisition	NREL Data Acquisition System Model RAP-DAQ, S/N 2005-999	02/08/2021	02/08/2023
Infrared Irradiance ‡	Kipp & Zonen Pyrgeometer Model CG4, S/N FT002	04/16/2018	04/16/2022

† Through the World Radiometric Reference (WRR) ‡ Through the World Infrared Standard Group (WISG)

Number of pages of certificate: 4

Calibration Procedure: BORCAL-P00-Calibration and QA Procedure; available upon request.

Setup: Radiometers are calibrated outdoors, using the sun as the source. Pyranometers and pyrgeometers are installed for horizontal measurements, with their signal connectors oriented north, if their design permits.
The shading disk for the reference diffuse subtends a solid angle of 5°. Pyrheliometers are installed on solar trackers.

Calibrated by: Afshin Andreas, Peter Gotseff, and RCC

______________________________ ____________

Ibrahim Reda, Technical Manager   Date

For questions or comments, please contact the technical manager at:
ibrahim.reda@nrel.gov; 303-384-6385; 15013 Denver West Parkway, Golden, CO 80401, USA

Calibration Results

920058 Kipp & Zonen CM21

The responsivity (R, µV/W/m²) of the test instrument during calibration is calculated using this Measurement Equation:

$$R = (V - Rnet * Wnet) / I \qquad [1]$$

where,

- V = radiometer output voltage (microvolts),
- $Rnet$ = radiometer net infrared responsivity (µV/W/m²), see Table 4,
- $Wnet$ = effective net infrared measured by pyrgeometer (W/m²),
  = $Win - Wout$ = $Win - \sigma * Tc^4$
  where, Win = incoming infrared (W/m²), σ = 5.6704e-8 W·m-2·K-4,
  Tc = case temperature of pyrgeometer (K).
- I = reference irradiance (W/m²), beam (B) or global (G)
  where, $G = B * COS(Z) + D$,
  Z = zenith angle (degrees),
  D = reference diffuse irradiance (W/m²).

Figure 1. Responsivity vs Zenith Angle



Figure 2. Responsivity vs Local Standard Time



Table 2. Instrument Responsivity (R) and Calibration Type-B Standard Uncertainty, u(B)

Zenith Angle (deg.)	AM R (µV/W/m²)	AM u(B) ± (%)	AM Azimuth Angle	PM R (µV/W/m²)	PM u(B) ± (%)	PM Azimuth Angle	Zenith Angle (deg.)	AM R (µV/W/m²)	AM u(B) ± (%)	AM Azimuth Angle	PM R (µV/W/m²)	PM u(B) ± (%)	PM Azimuth Angle
0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	46	13.365	0.36	106.45	13.427	0.32	253.71
2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	48	13.368	0.35	104.15	13.435	0.35	255.90
4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	50	13.366	0.34	102.15	13.440	0.39	258.05
6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	52	13.416	0.32	100.04	13.433	0.35	260.07
8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	54	13.390	0.37	98.13	13.430	0.36	262.00
10	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	56	13.380	0.36	96.25	13.431	0.35	263.89
12	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	58	13.356	0.36	94.53	13.441	0.35	265.61
14	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	60	13.329	0.38	92.75	13.437	0.39	267.40
16	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	62	13.326	0.36	91.03	13.441	0.42	269.10
18	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	64	13.338	0.42	89.38	13.407	0.39	270.75
20	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	66	13.424	0.42	87.75	13.455	0.41	272.45
22	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	68	13.378	0.41	86.09	13.469	0.43	274.01
24	13.393	0.35	161.13	13.407	0.34	198.92	70	13.451	0.44	84.55	13.496	0.46	275.65
26	13.375	0.33	148.25	13.376	0.32	211.69	72	13.507	0.47	82.92	13.551	N/A	277.23
28	13.358	0.32	139.96	13.387	0.35	220.18	74	13.550	0.52	81.36	13.548	N/A	278.81
30	13.389	0.33	133.75	13.426	0.30	226.38	76	13.612	N/A	79.75	13.577	N/A	280.43
32	13.353	0.33	128.46	13.385	0.32	231.48	78	13.704	N/A	78.13	13.592	N/A	282.12
34	13.372	0.32	124.41	13.392	0.33	235.90	80	13.723	N/A	76.52	13.583	N/A	283.71
36	13.387	0.37	120.46	13.414	0.34	239.70	82	13.818	N/A	74.86	13.546	N/A	285.34
38	13.358	0.35	117.15	13.416	0.35	243.04	84	14.150	N/A	73.19	13.490	N/A	287.03
40	13.369	0.34	114.03	13.442	0.35	246.06	86	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
42	13.355	0.36	111.34	13.432	0.35	248.80	88	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
44	13.355	0.33	108.86	13.437	0.35	251.33	90	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

N/A - Not Available

Figure 3. Type-B Standard Uncertainty vs Zenith Angle



Figure 4. Residuals from Spline Interpolation



Table 3. Uncertainty using Spline Interpolation ‡

Type-B Standard Uncertainty, u(B) (%)	±0.52
Type-A Interpolating Function, u(int) (%)	±0.21
Combined Standard Uncertainty, u(c) (%)	±0.56
Effective degrees of freedom, DF(c)	44780
Coverage factor, k	1.96
Expanded Uncertainty, U95 (%)	±1.1
AM Valid zenith angle range	24° to 74°
PM Valid zenith angle range	24° to 70°

‡ An illustration for how to reduce the uncertainty in calculating the irradiance using a function rather than R@45°. Not accredited.

Table 4. Calibration Label Values

R @ 45° (μV/W/m²)	Rnet (μV/W/m²) †
13.402	0.57000

† Rnet determination date: 03/03/2006

Table 5. Uncertainty using R @ 45°

Type-B Expanded Uncertainty, U(B) (%)	±0.77
Offset Uncertainty, U(off) (%)	+0.30 / -0.55
Expanded Uncertainty, U (%)	+1.1 / -1.3
Effective degrees of freedom, DF	+Inf
Coverage factor, k	1.96
Valid zenith angle range	30.0° to 60.0°

Figure 5. History of instrument at Zenith Angle = 45°



References:

[1] Reda, I.; Hickey, J.; Long, C.; Myers, D.; Stoffel, T.; Wilcox, S.; Michalsky, J. J.; Dutton, E. G.; Nelson, D. (2005). "Using a Blackbody to Calculate Net Longwave Responsivity of Shortwave Solar Pyranometers to Correct for Their Thermal Offset Error During Outdoor Calibration Using the Component Sum Method." Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology. , 2005; pp. 1531-1540; NREL Report No. JA-560-36646. doi:10.1175/JTECH1782.1

[2] Reda, I.; Myers, D.; Stoffel, T. (2008). "Uncertainty Estimate for the Outdoor Calibration of Solar Pyranometers: A Metrologist Perspective." Measure. (NCSLI Journal of Measurement Science). Vol. 3(4), December 2008; pp. 58-66; NREL Report No. JA-581-4137

[3] Reda, I.; Andreas, A. (2004). "Solar Position Algorithm for Solar Radiation Applications." Solar Energy. Vol. 76(5), 2004; pp. 577-589; NREL Report No. JA-560-35518. doi:10.1016/j.solener.2003.12.003

[4] Stoffel, T.; Reda, I. (2009). "NREL Pyrheliometer Comparisons: 22 September - 3 October 2008 (NPC-2008)." 54 pp.; NREL Report No. TP-550-45016.

[5] Reda, I.; Stoffel, T.; Myers, D. (2003). "Method to Calibrate a Solar Pyranometer for Measuring Reference Diffuse Irradiance." Solar Energy. Vol. 74, 2003; pp. 103-112; NREL Report No. JA-560-35025. doi:10.1016/S0038-092X(03)00124-5

[6] Reda, I. (1996). Calibration of a Solar Absolute Cavity Radiometer with Traceability to the World Radiometric Reference. 79 pp.; NREL Report No. TP-463-20619.

[7] Reda, I.; Gröbner, J.; Stoffel, T.; Myers, D.; Forgan, B. (2008). Improvements in the Blackbody Calibration of Pyrgeometers. ARM 2008 Science Team Meeting (Poster).

Environmental and Sky Conditions for BORCAL-SW 2021-02

Calibration Facility: Solar Radiation Research Laboratory

Latitude: 39.742°N   Longitude: 105.180°W   Elevation: 1828.8 meters AMSL   Time Zone: -7.0

Reference Irradiance:

Figure 6. Reference Irradiance



Figure 7. Diffuse Ratios



Meteorological Observations:

Figure 8. Temperature



Figure 9. Humidity



Figure 10. Pressure



Figure 11. Effective Net Infrared



Figure 12. Estimated Broadband Aerosol Optical Depth



Table 6. Meteorological Observations

Observations	Mean	Min	Max
Temperature (°C)	14.76	3.41	20.37
Humidity (%)	44.65	28.20	82.33
Pressure (mBar)	822.5	819.4	825.6
Est. Aerosol Optical Depth (BB)	0.072	0.048	0.108

For other information about the calibration facility visit: http://www.nrel.gov/esif/solar-radiation-research-laboratory.html

Appendix 2
BORCAL Notes

Instrument, Configuration, and Session Notes for the BORCAL

BORCAL Notes

Facility: Solar Radiation Research Laboratory
Comments:
Avg. Station Pressure & Temperature is for Denver, CO, which is used for the Solar Position Algorithm (SPA).